

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,855	12/22/2003	Sriram Ramani	1856-18101 (9418.0-01)	9102
31889	7590 10/03/2005		EXAM	INER
	WESTPHAL	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
P.O. BOX 12	ILLIPS COMPANY -  I. 57.	P. Legal	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PONONCA CITY, OK 74602-1267			1754	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,855	RAMANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wayne Langel	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-28 is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or expected to a subject to restriction and/or expected to by the Examinet 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of t	n from consideration. election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by the objected or by th	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-27-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-24, drawn to a method for forming a syngas, classified in class
 252, subclass 373.

II. Claims 25-28, drawn to a catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 303.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for producing the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as one which does not have the specific dimensions of the catalyst as recited in the Group II claims.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1754

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Watkins on September 27, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller et al in view of Barnes et al. Muller et al disclose a thin shell catalyst as recited in applicants' claims, but do not disclose that the catalyst should be used for the partial

Art Unit: 1754

oxidation of a hydrocarbon to form syngas. Barnes et al disclose the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons to form syngas, and teach at col. 6, lines 49-51 that the catalyst is a noble metal such as Pt or Ru. It would be obvious from from Barnes et al to employ the catalyst of Muller et al for the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons to form syngas, since Muller et al suggest in Paragragh [0002] that the catalyst may be employed in any industrial process which utilizes a noble metal catalyst, and Barnes et al establish that the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons to form syngas is an industrial process which utilizes a noble metal catalyst.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1754

Page 5

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754